

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
12/01/2000	Volker Schreiner	Beiersdorf 688-VMM	7950	
06/16/2004		EXAMI	INER	
Norris McLaughlin & Marcus P.A.		WELLS, LAUREN Q		
eet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
30th Floor New York, NY 10017		1617	1617	
	12/01/2000 06/16/2004 lin & Marcus P.A. et	12/01/2000 Volker Schreiner  06/16/2004 lin & Marcus P.A. et	12/01/2000 Volker Schreiner Beiersdorf 688-VMM  06/16/2004 EXAM  lin & Marcus P.A.  et ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/701,710	SCHREINER ET AL.		
/.u	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lauren Q Wells	1617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail EFR 1.704(b).	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or ing date of the final rejection, even if		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 May 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	• •	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-12 and 27-31</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	roved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:	S.	Vaknondoh		
	SREENI SUPERVISOF	PADMANABHAN IY PATENT EXAMINER		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) the 35 USC 112 and 103 rejections are maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 5/5/04. b) Applicant argues, "The fact presented by the Examiner does not show why one of ordianry skill in the art would not have thought that the applicants' did not possess this protion of the invention at the tim the applicatio nwas filed especially when this aspect of the claim was disclosed in the specification". This argument is not persuasive. The rejection is a 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph, written description rejection, based on new matter. No aspect of this claim was or is disclosed in the specification. The specification provides no support for the recitation of "increasing the synthesis rate of ceramides in the human skin". As specifically pointed out in the previous Office Action, there is no inherent correlation between increasing the rate of sphingosine, as recited in the instant specification, and increasing the rate of ceramides. Applicant argues, "there is no statutory requirement that the applicants describe the precise mechanism by which the synthesis rate of ceramide is increased". This argument is not persuasive. The Examiner is not requiring Applicant to provide any mechanism. The rejection is based on the fact that such a recitation is NEW MATTER, having no support in the instant specification. The additional arguments in the After Final response were addressed by the Examiner in the previous Office Action.